

Szf fellowship concerns

Mike (RR): We have been looking into Incorporation and liability insurance issues. We are greatly interested in Service Group Structure and Seating Arrangement. We are still looking for ways for our members to have avenues to a valid voice in the process.

Kristin (MO): See a need for Fellowship Development within the states as well as internationally.

Teresa: Same addicts in same position, poor service rotation

Tom: Open and Closed meetings and the respect for this practice.

John (LS): General lack of knowledge around information (mentoring).

Carla (Sherman, TX): Signed papers at meetings, people slipping them in and not there.

Rita: Afraid of too many changes all at once (CAR motions).

Larry: "Clean and Sober Issue"

Cheryl: When do issues get discussed for solutions? I am also concerned about getting enough PR support for her rural area.

Dickie (LA): (Answer to above) We streamline the Concerns process to cover everyone getting to voice concerns. The Forum is very good about using the time outside when the body meets (pulled out to the Hall, at lunch and breaks) to share ESH. It's the only way we have found to make enough time to handle properly and still take care of the weekend's agenda.

Henry (Esperanza): Can't get my hands on old Public Information Handbook, not being produced anymore.

Dez (Ft Worth Area): Just back from other areas and noticing the substantial clean time difference.

William: We have a multi-subcommittee structure at the ASC, where all the service committees meet together at the same hour. They have decided to use guidelines rather than say an agenda, if any one had any experience.

Deanna (AR): Growth has allowed newcomers to lose touch with literature foundations. We now go straight for the workbook and skip the text work. It is leading to a lack of focus on understanding our literature.

Jerry (TB) Need in put on metro efforts to bring areas together to share services.

